

DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439  
[dbergeson@be-law.com](mailto:dbergeson@be-law.com)  
MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373  
[mmorton@be-law.com](mailto:mmorton@be-law.com)  
MICHAEL W. STEBBINS, Bar No. 138326  
[mstebbins@be-law.com](mailto:mstebbins@be-law.com)  
BERGESON, LLP  
303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500  
San Jose, CA 95110-2712  
Telephone: (408) 291-6200  
Facsimile: (408) 297-6000

**\*E-FILED - 8/21/08\***

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
VERIGY US, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;  
WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON  
TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California  
Corporation; and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS,  
LLC, a California Limited Liability Corporation,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER  
FOR LIMITED MODIFICATION OF  
ORDER RE: MAY 23, 2008 CASE  
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE  
HEARING**

Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte  
Complaint Filed: August 22, 2007

**STIPULATION**

1. Pursuant to agreement, Plaintiff Verigy US, Inc. ("Verigy"), and Defendants Romi Omar Mayder, Wesley Mayder, Silicon Test Systems, Inc., and Silicon Test Solutions, LLC (collectively "Defendants"), by and through their respective counsel of record, do hereby stipulate and agree, and hereby jointly request, that the Court (a) allow the parties to take the depositions of (i) Honeywell International Inc. ("Honeywell") pursuant to F.R.C.P. 30(b)(6), (ii) one or more

1 current employees of Honeywell, and/or (iii) another ex-Honeywell employee, Mr. Grenville  
2 Hughes, (collectively, the "Honeywell-Related Depositions") at a date or dates to be agreed upon  
3 between the parties and counsel for Honeywell and Mr. Hughes in September 2008 after the  
4 August 29, 2008 Close of Fact Discovery; and (b) permit the parties to supplement, by September  
5 25, 2008, any Expert Disclosures pursuant to F.R.C.P. 26 (a)(2) made on or before September 15,  
6 2008 based upon specific evidence obtained from the Honeywell-Related Depositions, because it  
7 is anticipated that the Honeywell-Related Depositions will occur after September 15, 2008.

8 2. The parties have each subpoenaed Honeywell for deposition and the production of  
9 documents under F.R.C.P. 30(b)(6). The parties and counsel for Honeywell, Anthony Marks, Esq.  
10 of Perkins Coie Brown & Bain, originally agreed to a deposition on August 20, 2008 in  
11 Minneapolis and to a method for gathering responsive documents. However, in the course of  
12 gathering these documents, Honeywell's counsel has advised that there are likely to be in excess  
13 of 300MB (roughly 10-20 bankers boxes) of potentially responsive documents, which will not be  
14 processed in time for use at the agreed upon deposition date.

15 3. Verigy has also subpoenaed a former Honeywell employee, Mr. Grenville Hughes,  
16 who is believed to have information relating to the issues in dispute and to reside in Wavzata,  
17 Minnesota, near Minneapolis. The parties' understanding is that Mr. Hughes, who is likely also to  
18 be represented by Mr. Marks at his deposition, is unavailable for a late August 2008 deposition  
19 due to pre-arranged travel plans out of the country.

20 4. Because of the document production issues, Mr. Hughes' schedule, as well as  
21 Honeywell counsel's own travel schedule out of the country for the last two weeks of August  
22 2008, the parties would be unable to conduct the Honeywell-Related Depositions prior to the  
23 August 29, 2008 fact discovery cutoff.

24 5. The parties and Honeywell counsel have tentatively made arrangements to conduct  
25 the Honeywell-Related Depositions over September 16-18, 2008 in Minneapolis.

26 6. The parties also request leave of the Court to permit the parties to supplement, by  
27 September 25, 2008, any Expert Disclosures pursuant to F.R.C.P. 26 (a)(2) made on or before  
28 September 15, 2008 based upon specific evidence obtained from the Honeywell-Related

1 Depositions, because it is anticipated that the Honeywell-Related Depositions will occur after  
2 September 15, 2008.

3 7. There have been no other modifications of the Court's Order Re: May 23, 2008  
4 Case Management Conference Hearing.

5 8. In light of the foregoing, the parties hereby request that the Court allow the limited  
6 modification of the pre-trial schedule as requested and reflected above.

7 IT SO STIPULATED.

8  
9 Dated: August 15, 2008

BERGESON, LLP

10 By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
11 Michael W. Stebbins, Esq.  
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
VERIGY US, INC.

13 Dated: August 15, 2008

RUSSO & HALE, LLP

14 By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
15 John Kelley, Esq.  
16 Attorneys for Defendants  
17 ROMI OMAR MAYDER, WESLEY  
18 MAYDER, SILICON TEST SYSTEMS,  
19 INC., and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS,  
20 LLC

21 **ORDER**

22 In consideration of the foregoing stipulation and good cause appearing therefor, IT IS SO  
23 ORDERED.  
24  
25

26 DATED: 8/21/08  
27 \_\_\_\_\_

*Ronald M. Whyte*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
U. S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE